

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 MILORAD OLIC, ) Case No. 2:23-cv-03104-MWF-SP  
12 Petitioner, )  
13 v. )  
14 KEN CLARK, Warden, ) **ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS**  
15 Respondent. ) **AND RECOMMENDATION OF**  
16 ) **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE**  
17 ) **JUDGE**


---

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the First Amended Petition,  
19 records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate  
20 Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the  
21 Report to which petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and  
22 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

23 Specifically, Petitioner's Objections (Docket No. 19) are **OVERRULED** because  
24 they repeat the same arguments correctly rejected on the merits by the Magistrate Judge.  
25 Ground One is unexhausted and no good cause exists to grant a *Rhines* stay. Ground  
26 Two is simply not cognizable on federal habeas corpus. This Court adopts in full the  
27 reasoning set forth in Respondent's Response to Petitioner's Objections to Report and  
28 Recommendation. (Docket No. 20).

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment will be entered denying the First  
2 Amended Petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.

3  
4 Dated: September 30, 2024

5   
6 MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28